

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
8 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

9 \* \* \*

10 HALO ELECTRONICS, INC.,  
11 Plaintiffs,

12 vs.

13 PULSE ENGINEERING, INC., and  
14 TECHNITROL, INC.,

15 Defendants.  
16

2:07-CV-00331-PMP-PAL

**ORDER**


17 The Court having read and considered Defendants Pulse Engineering, Inc.  
18 And Technitrol, Inc.'s Objection to the Magistrate Judge's October 14, 2010 Order,  
19 or in the Alternative, Motion to Exclude (Doc. #223) filed October 28, 2010,  
20 Plaintiff Halo's Response in Opposition to Defendants' Objections (Doc. #229-  
21 #230), Defendants Pulse Engineering, Inc., and Technitrol, Inc.'s Reply Brief (Doc.  
22 #231) filed November 15, 2010, and Plaintiff's Motion to Strike Defendants' Reply  
23 Memorandum (Doc. #235) filed November 23, 2010, and finding that Magistrate  
24 Judge Leen's Order (Doc. #221) entered October 14, 2010 is neither clearly  
25 erroneous nor contrary to law, and good cause appearing,

26 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that Defendants' Objection to the

1 Magistrate Judge's October 14, 2010 Order, or in the Alternative, Motion to Exclude  
2 (Doc. #223) is DENIED.

3 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Plaintiff's Motion to Strike (Doc.  
4 #235) is DENIED.

5 DATED: December 6, 2010.

6  
7 

8 

---

PHILIP M. PRO  
United States District Judge  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26